Complaint for Damages

Qase 3:14-cv-01244-MMA-JMA Document 1 Filed 05/19/14 PageID.1 Page 1 of 9

Introduction

1. Gerry Tolentino ("Plaintiff") brings this action for damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of Collection Bureau of America, Ltd. ("Defendant") and its present, former, or future direct and indirect parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, and related entities, in negligently, or willfully violating the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorney.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA").
- 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(c) and § 1441(a) because Defendant is deemed to reside in any judicial district in which they are subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the action is commenced and because Defendant's contacts with this District are sufficient to subject it to personal jurisdiction. Venue is also proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant transacts business here and because Plaintiff has resided in this District at all times relevant to these claims such that a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant occurred within this judicial district.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen and resident of the State of California, County of San Diego, in this judicial district.

28 ||

///

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

27 28

- Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 5. U.S.C. § 153(10).
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation registered in California with its principal place of business located in California. Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business in the State of California, in the County of San Diego, within this judicial district.
- Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person," as defined by 47 7. U.S.C. § 153(32).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff incurred a debt sometime prior to 2013. The debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Defendant for collection, or Defendant was employed to collect the debt.
- 9. While attempting to collect the debt, Defendant called Plaintiff on his T-Mobile cellular telephone ending 6211 no less than 12 (twelve) times from March 21, 2013 through May 20, 2013 and Plaintiff has a call log that lists the calls. The telephone numbers Defendant usually used ended in 9299 and 9294.
- 10. Plaintiff believes Defendant called him on many other occasions and will seek to obtain the applicable outbound dial list from Defendant in Discovery.
- 11. Defendant utilized an Automatic Telephone Dialing System as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1) to place the calls to Plaintiff.
- 12. Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver messages to Plaintiff without the prior express consent of Plaintiff in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 13. Plaintiff never gave Defendant consent to call his cellular telephone. Plaintiff was assigned the number ending 6211 by T-Mobile about 2 (two) years ago and the debt is much older than that, meaning Plaintiff did not list that number on the

- 1 2
- but it was not from Plaintiff.
- 3

4

14. Plaintiff acknowledges his number ending 6211 appears to be a land-line but it is in fact assigned to his T-Mobile cellular telephone.

set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

- 5
- 15. Plaintiff's wireless number ending 6211 may have been ported from a landline. Under C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(1)(iv)(C) there is a "safe harbor" for auto-dialed calls

well over 15 (fifteen) days after porting, if the number was in fact ported.

16. Defendant called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone ending 6211 at least 12

(twelve) times via an "automatic telephone dialing system" ("ATDS") as defined

by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) and by using "an artificial or prerecorded voice" as

prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A). This ATDS has the capacity to store or

produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number

telephone ending 6211 for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls as

17. The telephone number Defendant or their agents called was assigned to a cellular

18. These telephone calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as

19. These telephone calls by Defendants or their agents violated 47 U.S.C. §

20. Because the TCPA is a remedial statute, it should be construed to benefit

consumers. Lesher v. Law Offices of Mitchell N. Kay, PC, 650 F.3d 993 (3d Cir.

- 6 7
- to such a number for 15 (fifteen) days after porting. Here, the calls were made

credit application. Plaintiff does not know how Defendant obtained that number,

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 ||

generator.

227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20

19

- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25

///

///

///

///

- 26
- 27
- 28

4

2011)

THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 1991

47 U.S.C. § 227

21. In 1991, Congress enacted the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ("TCPA"), in response to a growing number of consumer complaints regarding certain telemarketing practices.

- 22. The TCPA regulates, among other things, the use of automated telephone Specifically, the plain language of section equipment or "autodialers." 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) prohibits the use of autodialers to make any call to a wireless number in the absence of an emergency or the prior express consent of the called party.²
- 23. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient. The FCC also recognized that wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used.³
- 24. On January 4, 2008, the FCC released a Declaratory Ruling wherein it confirmed that autodialed and prerecorded message calls to a wireless number by a creditor, or on behalf of a creditor, are permitted only if the calls are made with the "prior express consent".4

22 ///

///

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

27

28

¹ Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, 105 Stat. 25 2394 (1991), codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227 (TCPA). The TCPA amended Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

26 ² 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Communications Reg. (P&F) 877, 2008 WL 65485 (F.C.C.) (2008).

³ Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 FCC Rcd 14014 (2003). In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("FCC Declaratory Ruling"), 23 F.C.C.R. 559, 23 FCC Rcd. 559, 43

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION							
2		NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT						
3		47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.						
4	25.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint						
5		as though fully stated herein.						
6	26.	The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple						
7		negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one						
8		of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq						
9	27.	As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.						
10		Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and						
11		every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).						
12	28.	Plaintiff is entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.						
13	29.	Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs.						
14		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION						
15		KNOWING OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE						
16		TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT						
17		47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.						
18	30.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as						
19		though fully stated herein.						
20	31.	The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple						
21		knowing or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and						
22		every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq						
23	32.	As a result of Defendant's knowing or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 ea						
24		seq., Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to						
25		\$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and						
26		47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).						
27	33.	Plaintiff is entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.						
28	34.	Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs.						
		6						

27

28

///

///

TRIAL BY JURY Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury on all counts so triable. Date: May 19, 2014 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL G. SHAY By: /s/ Daniel G. Shay, Esq. Daniel G. Shay, Esq. 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B San Diego, CA 92108 Telephone: (619) 222-7429 Facsimile: (866) 431-3292 Attorney for Plaintiff Gerry Tolentino

Complaint for Damages

$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~12/12)}$ Case 3:14-cv-01244-MMA-JMA-Document 1. Filed 05/19/14 PageID.9 Page 9 of 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FO	RM.)	, , is required for the us	of the clerk of court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
GERRY TOLENTINO				COLLECTION BUREAU OF AMERICA, LTD			
(b) County of Residence of (E)	First Listed Plaintiff S CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	an Diego, CA		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Alameda, CA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Law Office of Daniel G. S 409 Camino Del Rio Sout San Diego, CA 92108	hay - 619-222-7429	r)		Attorneys (If Known) 14CV1244 MMAJMA			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTI	ES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF tizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF 1 DEF			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State				
IV NATUDE OF SUIT	Topy were a pool			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Natio	n	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ORTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability BERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 70 Step Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7	LABOR O Tair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Chapter Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Other Immigration Other Immigration Other Immigration	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405() □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act	
	cite the U.S. Civil Star 28 U.S.C. Section	Appellate Court tute under which you are 1331 - Federal Qu			er District Litiga		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:				EMAND \$	AND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 05/19/2014 /s/ Daniel G. Shay, Esq.							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		. JUDGE	